



June 15, 2007

Sent via email

Eric Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 8, 8ENF-T
999 18th Street, Suite 300
Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for May 2007 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the May 2007 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pqlader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "P. Glader", written over a horizontal line.

Paul L. Glader
Manager Environmental Services

End

Cc: HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)



June 15, 2007

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman.
Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe
P.O. Box 448
Santa Clara, Utah 84765

John Krause
Bureau of Indian Affairs Phoenix Area Office
U.S. Department of Interior
P.O. Box 10
Phoenix, AZ 85001

Kelly Youngbear
BIA Southern Paiute Agency
P.O. Box 720
St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for May 2007 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID
No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the April 2007 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "P. Glader", written over a horizontal line.

Paul L. Glader
Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc: HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S) (w/o attachments)
Eric Johnson (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



June 15, 2007

MEMORANDUM TO: Apex File
COPIES TO: distribution
FROM: Paul Glader
SUBJECT: Progress Report No. 37 for period ending May 31, 2007;
Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The 17th visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on May 12. No unusual conditions were noted.

The settlement monuments were surveyed March 23 by Alpha Engineering Company, no appreciable settlement was noted.

Discussion

1. Surface Monitor Results To Date – Since monitoring of the top surface began (Jan 4, 2006), there has been no appreciable movement in the surface monuments at the Apex site.

Work Planned for Next Period

1. Visual inspection of site.
2. Settlement monument survey – quarterly basis – next survey in June.

Sampling and Analysis in Period

Field Tests, Inspections & QA/QC

1. The 17th post closure site inspection was done on May 12; a copy of the inspection report is included in the Supplemental Attachments section.

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in May 2007 were \$338. Total project to date committed is approximately \$1,244,400. The cost report for May is attached.

Current status of the deliverables listed in the RCRA 7003 order includes:

Deliverable	Reference Paragraph	Due	Remarks
Post warning signage around perimeter of site	57	15 days after effective date of order	Work completed on March 9, 2004
Begin implementation of closure plan	63	45 days after receipt of filing of order	Work started on February 23, 2004
Monthly progress reports	64	28 th day after close of month	Requirement in effect after order is filed.
Completion report	66	30 days after completion of all closure plan tasks	Construction completion report submitted on 3/13/2006. A follow-up report to be issued after end of monitoring period.

The update of the schedule milestones includes:

Milestone	Target	Actual	Remarks
Issue bid package – Phase I (Sump Drains)	6/14/04	6/15/04	Portion of RFP materials issued at pre-bid on 6/14/04; remainder sent via courier
Issue RFP package – Phase III	6/24/04	6/24/04	
Award contract for Phase I	6/24/04	6/29/04	Date contract was shipped to Hughes
Pre-bid meeting – Phase III	7/19/04	7/19/04	
Start Phase I (Sump Drains) construction	7/12/04	7/19/04	
Start Phase II (Evaporation)	7/19/04	7/29/04	
Receive bids for Phase III	8/2/04	8/2/04	
Re-bid Phase III contract package	April 2005	4/27/05	Date bid package was sent to Hughes
Start Phase III construction	End of August 2005	8/29/05	Start of contractor mobilization
Complete Phase III construction	Dec 23rd 2005	12/23/05	Completion of contract scope of work
Issue Construction Completion Report	Week of 3/13/2006	3/13/06	

Supplemental Attachments

1. May 12, 2007 long term inspection report, by D. Truman.
2. May Cost Report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecia Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: <u>5-12-07</u>			
Inspector: <u>Truman</u>			
Cover System Component	Potential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or Fencing Issues	NA	NA
Cover System (outslopes, top, rock)	Subsidence	Minor: ponding < 1" some gulying / erosion	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
		Significant: see Table 2	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Embankment Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Gulying	on top	depth > 1" Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2" Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gulying allowed Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gulying allowed Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		in diversion channel at any other location	NA
	Erosion Protection Stability	rock subsiding or missing	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Seepage	no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Runoff Control System	Diversion Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Diversion Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel	allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

* Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecia Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

Inspection Date: <u>5-12-07</u>	
Inspector: <u>Truman</u>	
Visible Outlying Areas	
Observed Condition:	<u>All Areas Look good.</u>
Observed Damage:	<u>NONE</u>
May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence line)	
Observed Condition:	<u>Signs & Fence Look good.</u>
Observed Damage:	<u>NONE</u>
Potential Corrective Actions:	<u>NONE</u>
May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto property)	
Observed Condition:	<u>No change</u>
Observed Damage:	<u>NONE</u>
May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

* Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecia Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

Inspection Date: <u>5-12-07</u>			
Inspector: <u>T. L. Luman</u>			
Outslopes			
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Excessive Slope Movement (failure):	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Gully Development:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Observable Leachate (colored):	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe):	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Observed Damage:	<u>Same</u>		
Potential Corrective Actions:	<u>None</u>		
Top (top surface soils)			
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width):	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Erosion / Gullyying:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Observed Damage:	<u>Same</u>		
Potential Corrective Actions:	<u>None</u>		
Erosion Protection Layer (rock)			
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Rock Subsiding:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Missing Rock:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Observed Damage:	<u>Same</u>		
Potential Corrective Actions:	<u>None</u>		

* Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecia Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date: <u>5-12-07</u>			
Inspector: <u>TRM</u>			
Diversion Channel			
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> * No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> * No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> * No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Observed Damage: <u>NONE</u>			
Potential Corrective Actions: <u>NONE</u>			
Diversion Swales			
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> * No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> * No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Head Cutting:	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	May require repair: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> * No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Observed Damage: <u>NONE</u>			
Potential Corrective Actions: <u>NONE</u>			

* Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 5-31-07	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)							
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)							
Site Inspections			138	2,964	1,721	4,685	
Settlement Monitoring			0	4,050	6,075	10,125	
<u>Consultant Support:</u>							
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections			0	2,495	18,100	20,595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	0	20,000	20,000	Allowance for surveys in FY 2007, 2009 and 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI			0	3,181			
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079			
<u>Maintenance:</u>							
Erosion Repair Allowance			0	0	7,500	7,500	
Overseeding Allowance			0	0	9,920	9,920	
<u>Hecla Project Management Costs:</u>							
Labor			200	2,266	7,909	10,175	
Travel expenses			0	0	1,312	1,312	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	338	17,015	72,537	84,312	
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	338	1,244,408	72,537	1,311,705	

Eric
Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US
06/25/2007 07:32 AM

To Amy Swanson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Donna
Jackson/P2/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Apex - May Report

— Forwarded by Eric Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US on 06/25/2007 07:32 AM —



"Paul Glader"
<pglader@hecla-mining.com
>
06/14/2007 03:50 PM

To Eric Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
Subject Apex - May Report

Eric

I was at Apex this past Monday 6/11. Things look pretty good, no erosion to speak of. The area is very dry.

Paul

<<Apex Pond 2 - progress rpt 37 complete, may 2007.pdf>>



Apex Pond 2 - progress rpt 37 complete, may 2007.pdf